## Obseva nature meets nurture

# Focused on unmet needs in women's reproductive health

May 2022

### Disclaimer

Matters discussed in this presentation may constitute forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements contained in this presentation reflect our views as of the date of this presentation about future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions, and changes in circumstances that may cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to differ significantly from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future events, results, performance, or achievements. Some of the key factors that could cause actual results to differ from our expectations include our or our licensees' plans for clinical development and commercialization of our product candidates; our planned clinical trials and preclinical studies for our product candidates, including uncertainties inherent in the conduct of clinical trials and clinical development; the timing of and our ability to obtain and maintain regulatory approvals for our product candidates; the extent of clinical trials potentially required for our product candidates; the clinical utility and market acceptance of our product candidates; our plans and development of any new indications for our product candidates; our reliance on third parties over which we may not always have full control, and the capabilities of such third parties; our commercialization, marketing and manufacturing capabilities and strategy, including our relationships with third parties related to such activities; our intellectual property position; the impact of the ongoing novel coronavirus outbreak; and our ability to identify and in-license additional product candidates. For further information regarding these risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results to differ from our expectations, you should read the risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2021 filed with the SEC on March 10, 2022, and our other filings we make with the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time.

We expressly disclaim any obligation to update or revise the information herein, including the forward-looking statements, except as required by law. Please also note that this presentation does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities.

This presentation concerns products that are under clinical investigation and which have not yet been approved for marketing by the US Food and Drug Administration. It is currently limited by federal law to investigational use, and no representation is made as to its safety or effectiveness for the purposes for which it is being investigated. The trademarks included herein are the property of the owners thereof and are used for reference purposes only. Such use should not be construed as an endorsement of such products.

This presentation also contains estimates and other statistical data made by independent parties and by us relating to market size and growth and other data about our industry. This data involves a number of assumptions and limitations, and you are cautioned not to give undue weight to such estimates. In addition, projections, assumptions and estimates of our future performance and the future performance of the markets in which we operate are necessarily subject to a high degree of uncertainty and risk.

### About ObsEva

ObsEva (NASDAQ: OBSV and SIX: OBSN) is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company developing and commercializing novel therapies to improve women's reproductive health. Through strategic in-licensing and disciplined drug development, ObsEva has established a late-stage clinical pipeline with development programs focused on treating uterine fibroids, endometriosis and preterm labor.

- Founded in 2012
- Headquarters: Geneva, Switzerland
- Listings: NASDAQ (OBSV) and SIX (OBSN)
- Collaborations with Theramex, Syneos Health, Organon, Kissei, Yuyuan BioScience, Merck Serono



### Seasoned leadership team



### **Board of Directors**

Annette Clancy, BSc (Hons) Chairperson	Ernest Loumaye, MD, PhD	Brian O'Callaghan	Anne VanLent	Ed Mathers	Catarina Edfjäll PhD	Stephanie Brown
GlaxoSmithKline	Serono biotech & beyond	• Petra Pharma	sagtc	MedImmune	CSL Behring Biotherapies for Life®	FIPSEN Innovation for patient care
sobi	<b>PSEN</b> Innovation for patient core	U NOVARTIS	<b>THERAPEUTICS</b>	GlaxoWellcome	Human Genetic Therapies	U NOVARTIS
LYSŒENE	preglemereductive medicine	Sangart	Barrier		ACTELION	Biogen
		Merck Serono	(		A MUSER PHARMACEURCEAL COMMUN Or S <mark>edmen-Jedmen</mark>	Genentech A Member of the Roche Group
		COVANCE.	SARNOFF		Roche	

### Investor highlights



Pursuing large indications for conditions that compromise women's reproductive health and beyond



Linzagolix has potential best in class efficacy, favorable tolerability, and unique flexible dosing options. NDA accepted; CHMP adopted positive opinion. Licensing agreement with Theramex and commercialization relationship with Syneos Health to support market introduction



Global licensing agreement with Organon to develop and commercialize ebopiprant, the only known product in development for preterm labor



Business model built on strong global partnerships and collaborations



Seasoned leadership team with a track record for success

### **Product overview**

LINZAGOLIX EBOPIPRANT NOLASIBAN

Potential to relieve symptoms of heavy menstrual bleeding due to uterine fibroids and pain associated with endometriosis<sup>1</sup>

Potential to delay preterm birth to improve newborn health and reduce medical costs<sup>2</sup>

Potential to improve live birth rate following IVF & embryo transfer

<sup>1</sup>NDA accepted and FDA set a target action date of September 13, 2022 under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA); CHMP adopted a positive opinion in December 2021. Licensing agreement with Theramex and commercialization relationship with Syneos Health

<sup>2</sup> The global development, manufacturing and commercial rights of ebopiprant is licensed to Organon

### Multiple development programs drive value

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Key Milestones
	Uterine Fibroids – Ph3 I	PRIMROSE 2 (EU & US)		MAA: CHMP Positive Opinion (Dec 16, 2021) NDA: PDUFA (Sep 13, 2022)
	Uterine Fibroids – Ph3 F	PRIMROSE 1 (US)		Licensing agreement with Theramex and commercialization relationship established with Syneos Health
Oral GnRH receptor antagonist	Endometriosis – Ph3 ED	)FIWEISS 3 (FU & US)		Phase 3 positive topline results announced (Q1:22)
				Phase 3 Post-Rx F/U data expected (Q2:22) Phase 3 Post-Rx F/U-extension data expected (Q4:22)
EBOPIPRANT Oral PGF <sub>2α</sub> receptor antagonist	Preterm Labor – Ph2b (	EU & Asia)		Global rights licensed to Organon
NOLASIBAN Oral oxytocin receptor antagonist	IVF – Ph1/2 (China)			China rights licensed to Yuyuan BioScience





DESIGNED TO TREAT MORE WOMEN SUFFERING FROM UTERINE FIBROIDS

Yselty<sup>®</sup>, our proposed trade name for linzagolix, is conditionally acceptable for the FDA. Linzagolix has not been approved by FDA for any indication for use. Linzagolix is an investigational drug.

### **Uterine fibroids**

A significant unmet need translating into a multibillion market

\$34B/yr total US costs from direct costs, lost workdays and complications **18**M women in the US may

be affected by fibroids\*

70%+

of women have fibroids by age 50

Quality of Life

premenopausal women may experience heavy menstrual bleeding, anemia, bloating, infertility, pain and swelling

### 600,000

hysterectomies are performed annually in the US

**300,000** are because of uterine fibroids At least women in the US experience symptoms\*\*

2M women annually seek treatment for heavy menstrual bleeding in the US\*\*\*

Obs**eva** 

Cardozo et al., Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012; Stewart et al. NEJM, 2015; Flynn et al., Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006; Truven Health, Fibroid Foundation website; Epidemiology of women's health, Jones & Bartlett Learning, Ruby T. Senie, 2014 \*Uterine fibroids detectable via ultrasound in 70% of Caucasians, 80% of African Americans by age 50 Source: Baird et al, 2003 \*\*Symptomatic in 25-50% of cases Sources: Donnez et al, 2016; Marsh et al, 2018

\*\*\*Data on file

### GnRH antagonist mechanism of action



### A potential new gold standard treatment for uterine fibroids

### Differentiated PK/PD profile



#### **Reliable absorption**

Predictable exposure/effect with each dose

### Optimal balance for dosing and effectiveness

- Convenient once-daily dosing that fits into women's busy lives
- Blood levels that last long enough to allow flexibility in dosing time

### "No hassle" administration profile

- Can be taken with or without food
- No relevant interactions with hormonal add-back therapy, oral iron, calcium or other common medications

### **Promise of GnRH antagonists** Dose dependent reduction of estradiol (E2)



**Obseva** \*ABT: 1mg estradiol/0.5 mg norethisterone acetate

## Up to 50% of US women suffering from uterine fibroids may have a contraindication to hormonal ABT\*

Black women are overrepresented

Proportion of US population (%) 60% 50% Non-Hispanic Black 40% Non-Hispanic White 30% 20% 10% 0% Obesity (BMI  $\geq$  30) Severe obesity Smokers aged ≥18 **Hypertension Dyslipidemia** Genetic risk factors uncontrolled\*\* for Venous in women (BMI ≥40) in thromboembolism aged ≥20 women aged ≥20

**Proportion of US population** 

\*US FDA elagolix PI, section 4. Contraindications and section 5.1. Warnings and precautions – thromboembolic disorders and vascular events \*\* Proportion of individuals with hypertension - Overall population Male vs Female: 47% vs 43% \*\*Hales et al., Prevalence of obesity and severe obesity among adults: United States, 2017–2018. NCHS Data Brief, no 360

Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults in the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data\_statistics/fact\_sheets/adult\_data/cig\_smoking/index.htm#nation; https://www.cdc.gov/2018

### Uterine fibroids are ruining lives...

No two women are the same, but millions share a common problem: suffering the daily consequences of uterine fibroids



For long-term use for women for whom ABT is appropriate

For long-term use for women with a contraindication to or who prefer to avoid ABT

For short-term use (up to 6 months) when rapid reduction in fibroid and uterine volume is desired

Linzagolix 200 mg once daily with concomitant ABT

Linzagolix 100 mg once daily without ABT

Linzagolix 200 mg once daily without ABT

ABT-containing regimens may be contraindicated in up to 50% of US women with uterine fibroids based on the elagolix US label\* and analysis of CDC data\*\*



\*US FDA elagolix PI, section 4. Contraindications and section 5.1. Warnings and precautions – thromboembolic disorders and vascular events

Obseva \*\* Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults in the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data\_statistics/fact\_sheets/adult\_data/cig\_smoking/index.htm#nation; https://www.cdc.gov/2018 15 The hypothetical patients represented on this slide are for illustrative purposes only as no strength of linzagolix has been approved nor is there FDA-approved Prescribing Information to guide clinical decisions

### Phase 3 registration studies PRIMROSE 1 (US) and PRIMROSE 2 (EU/US)



Primary efficacy endpoint: proportion of women with menstrual blood loss  $\leq$  80 mL (by alkaline hematin method) and  $\geq$  50% reduction from baseline

Patients in the studies received no Vitamin D or calcium supplementation

### PRIMROSE 1 and 2 achieved primary endpoint for both doses Responder\* analysis at week 24



\*Proportion of women with menstrual blood loss  $\leq$  80 mL (by alkaline hematin method) and  $\geq$  50% reduction from baseline

## PRIMROSE 1 and 2 achieved sustained reduction in MBL

Responder\* analysis at week 52



\*Proportion of women with menstrual blood loss ≤ 80 mL (by alkaline hematin method) and ≥ 50% reduction from baseline



Obseva Pain assessed on Numerical Rating Scale: 0-10

### LGX 200 mg without ABT significantly reduces uterine volume

Substantial reduction compared to placebo & LGX 200 mg with ABT at Week 24

#### PRIMROSE 1

PRIMROSE 2



## 24-week efficacy data support linzagolix as potential best-in-class GnRH antagonist

Caution advised when comparing across clinical trials. Below data are not head-to-head comparison, and no head-to-head trials have been completed, nor are underway



Obseva Data collected from 6 separate studies

### 24-week efficacy data support linzagolix as potential best-in-class GnRH antagonist

Caution advised when comparing across clinical trials. Below data are not head-to-head comparison, and no head-to-head trials have been completed, nor are underway

	Linzagolix			Elagolix			Relugolix			
	PRIMROSE 1	PRIMROSE 2	Pooled Analysis	ELARIS 1	ELARIS 2	Pooled Analysis	LIB	ERTY 1	LIBERTY 2	Pooled Analysis
Dose Regimen		200mg + AB Once daily	Г	3	800 mg + AB Twice daily	ST ,		40mg + ABT Once daily		
Mean Age (y)	41.6	43.1		42.6	42.5		4	<b>11.3</b>	42.1	
Baseline MBL (mL per cycle)	197	212		238	229			229	247	
Responder* Rate (RR) (%)	75.5	93.9	84.7	68.5	76.5	72.2+	7	73.4	71.2	72.3++
Amenorrhea	✓	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			✓	✓	
Pain	✓	$\checkmark$		NR	NR			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Fibroid Volume	x	$\checkmark$		NR**	NR**			x	×	
Uterine Volume	x	$\checkmark$		NR**	NR**			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Menstrual Blood Loss	✓	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Anemia	✓	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Quality of Life	✓	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	

Obs**eva** 

Source: Company information Note: NR = Not reported. \*Primary endpoint: Proportion of women with menstrual blood loss ≤ 80 mL (by alkaline hematin method) and ≥ 50% reduction from baseline \*\* P-value not reported + Simon et al, Obstet Gynecol 135, 1313-1326 2020 ++ Venturella R et al, ESHRE 2020 abstract.

### Minimal BMD change with both doses, plateauing after week 24 Expected age-related BMD decline observed in placebo arm at Week 52



#### PRIMROSE 1

**PRIMROSE 2** 

### BMD Z-scores remained within normal range

Expected age-related BMD decline observed in placebo arm at Week 52



Z-score compares BMD to the average values of a person of the same age and gender. A score < -2 is a sign of less bone mass than expected

### Favorable tolerability profile

#### Summary of adverse events—Day 1 to Week 24\*

		PRIMROSE 1			PRIMROSE 2	
Number (%) of women	Placebo	Yselty® 100 mg	Yselty® 200 mg + ABT	Placebo	Yselty® 100 mg	Yselty® 200 mg + ABT
	n=104	n=100	n=107	n=105	n=99	n=101
Subject with at least one TEAE	56 (53.8)	65 (65.0)	63 (58.9)	47 (44.8)	50 (50.5)	52 (51.5)
TEAE leading to discontinuation	10 (9.6)	7 (7.0)	10 (9.3)	7 (6.7)	7 (7.1)	7 (6.9)
SAE related to linzagolix	0	0	0	0	1 (1.0)	0
Occurrence of most frequently report	rted AEs (> 5%) u	p to week 24				
Hot flush	7 (6.7)	6 (6.0)	7 (6.5)	4 (3.8)	14 (14.1)	13 (12.9)
Headache	6 (5.8)	8 (8.0)	9 (8.4)	6 (5.7)	4 (4.0)	7 (6.9)
Anemia	3 (2.9)	1 (1.0)	4 (3.7)	11 (10.5)	19 (19.2)	9 (8.9)

\*No new safety signal identified after Week 24

Obs**eva** 

Rates of adverse events (overall and by preferred term) decreased from Weeks 24-52 Adverse events were rare during the off-treatment follow-up period between Weeks 52 and 76

### Favorable tolerability profile

### Summary of adverse events—week 24 to 52

		PRIMROSE 1		PRIMI	ROSE 2
	Placebo	Linzagolix 100 mg	Linzagolix 200 mg + ABT	Linzagolix 100 mg	Linzagolix 200 mg + ABT
Number (%) of women	n=31	n=62	n=70	n=79	n=84
Subject with at least one TEAE	12 (38.7)	25 (40.3)	25 (35.7)	22 (27.8)	21 (25.0)
TEAE leading to discontinuation	1 (3.2)	2 (3.2)	1 (1.4)	7 (8.9)	1 (1.2)
SAE related to linzagolix	0	0	0	0	0
Occurrence after week 24 of mo	st frequently repor	rted AEs (> 5%) up	to week 24		
Hot flush	0	1 (1.6)	0	2 (2.5)	3 (3.6)
Headache	1 (3.2)	3 (4.8)	0	1 (1.3)	1 (1.2)
Anemia	1 (3.2)	0	0	2 (2.5)	1 (1.2)

### Linzagolix: Potentially "best-in-class" GnRH antagonist

	Linzagolix	Elagolix	Relugolix
Flexible dosing to allow dose dependent reduction of estradiol (E2)	٧	Х	Х
For long-term use for women for whom ABT is appropriate*	84%	72.2%+	72.3%++
For long-term use for women with a contraindication to or who prefer to avoid ABT	56%	Х	X
Significant reduction in pain	$\checkmark$	X (NR)	V
Once a day dosing	$\checkmark$	Х	V
Favorable bioavailability	>80%	30-50%	11%
Favorable DDI and no food effect**	٧	Х	Х
Favorable tolerability profile	V	V	V
Minimal BMD change	V	V	V

Source: Company information Note: NR = Not reported.

\*Primary endpoint: Proportion of women with menstrual blood loss  $\leq$  80 mL (by alkaline hematin method) and  $\geq$  50% reduction from baseline + *Simon et al, Obstet Gynecol 135, 1313-1326 2020* ++ *Venturella R et al, ESHRE 2020 abstract.* Note: The data on this page are not from head-to-head comparisons.

\*\* In a dedicated food effect study using a single 200 mg dose, there was a decrease of 24% and 36% in AUC and Cmax, respectively, under high-fat meal conditions; however, labelling states elagolix can be taken without regard to meals. ABT = Add Back Therapy

## Commercialization relationship with Syneos & licensing agreement with Theramex to realize linzagolix potential

Syneos Health relationship to support commercialization in the United States

Contract full-service sales and marketing organization

Extensive launch and women's health experience

Sales force solely dedicated to Obseva to realize commercial potential

Maintains control, value and optionality for linzagolix in the US

Theramex agreement to support commercialization outside of the US, Canada and Asia

Royalty rate

Mid-thirties royalties<sup>1</sup>

**€5** million

**€13.8** million

Upfront payment to ObsEva

Development and commercial milestones

**€54** million

Sales-based milestones

### Linzagolix, designed to treat more women...

Robust clinical data driving differentiated profile



Linxagolix 200 mg once daily with concomitant ABT

For long-term use for women for whom ABT is appropriate





Linxagolix 100 mg once daily without ABT

For long-term use for women with a contraindication to or who prefer to avoid ABT

Linxagolix 200 mg once daily

#### without ABT

For short-term use (up to 6 months) when rapid reduction in fibroid and uterine volume is desired

Potentially best-in-class, only GnRH antagonist to address the non-ABT market Favorable efficacy rates and tolerability profile compared to other GnRH antagonists for the ABT regimen Unique set of treatment options and complementary solution for uterine fibroids surgeons for pre-op

#### Obs**eva**

The hypothetical patients represented on this slide are for illustrative purposes only as no strength of linzagolix has been approved nor is there FDA-approved Prescribing Information to guide clinical decisions

### Endometriosis

An emotionally and physically painful condition

\$22B/yr total US costs



women worldwide suffer from endometriosis

60%+

of women feel symptoms by age 16

### Quality of Life

premenopausal women may experience pelvic pain, pain during intercourse and defecation, infertility and emotional distress

Endometriosis affects up to



in the general population

### 50%+



in the infertile population



### 5 million

women in the US are treated annually for endometriosis





#### Overall Pelvic Pain (%) Responder (0-3 VRS)



Potential point of differentiation as 75mg partial suppression dose is nearly as effective as 200mg full suppression dose

Dysmenorrhea (%) Responder (0-3 VRS)







Sustained improvement in overall endometriosis symptoms (PGIC)



75 mg effective without significantly affecting BMD

Mean % change in BMD from baseline to 24 weeks (12 weeks for placebo)



#### **EDELWEISS**

### Phase 3 EDELWEISS study design

Overview: multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical study to assess the efficacy and safety of linzagolix in subjects with moderate to severe endometriosis-associated pain

Doses selected based on Phase 2b Edelweiss trial results





Patients who chose not to enter 24-week treatment extension entered post-treatment follow-up

### Co-primary endpoints: responder rates for DYS and NMPP



12 weeks



p<0.05 denotes a significant difference from placebo



CI=confidence interval

### Summary of ranked secondary endpoints

Endpoint	Placebo	LGX 75 mg	p-value	LGX 200 mg + ABT	p-value*
Change in DYS score at M6	-0.66	-1.10	<0.001	-1.83	<0.001
Change in NMPP score at M6	-0.66	-0.84	0.048	-0.92	0.002
Change in dyschezia score at M6	-1.41	-1.98	0.015	-1.99	0.012
Change in OPP at M6	-2.19	-2.84	0.024	-3.39	<0.001
Change in difficulty in doing daily activities due to PP at M6	-19.47	-27.37	0.001	-35.60	<0.001
Change in dyspareunia score at M6	-0.82	-1.04	0.100	-1.01	0.184
No analgesic use at M6	13.2%	30.9%	<0.001	44.5%	<0.001
No opiate analgesic use at M6	97.0%	93.8%	0.420	97.0%	1.000



\*p<0.05 denotes a significant difference from placebo for each endpoint when p<0.05 for all higher ranked endpoints (including both coprimary endpoints)

### Rapid effects of linzagolix on DYS and NMPP





### Quality of life and intention for surgery

Change from baseline at 6 Months	Placebo	LGX 75 mg	p-value	LGX 200 mg + ABT	p-value
EHP-30 – pain	-19.47	-27.37	0.001	-35.60	<0.001
EHP-30 – control and powerlessness	-21.75	-28.12	0.044	-37.38	<0.001
EHP-30 – emotional well-being	-12.57	-19.04	0.022	-22.03	<0.001
EHP-30 – social support	-13.82	-18.48	0.183	-25.89	<0.001
EHP-30 – self-image	-9.57	-16.43	0.020	-20.69	<0.001
Physician intention for surgery	-0.8	-1.5	0.037	-1.5	0.017
Patient intention for surgery	-0.7	-1.5	0.022	-1.6	0.005



\*p<0.05 denotes a significant difference from placebo for each endpoint when p<0.05 for all higher ranked endpoints (including both coprimary endpoints)

### Summary of treatment emergent adverse events (TEAEs)

	Placebo (N=162)	LGX 75 mg (N=160)	LGX 200 mg + ABT (N=162)
Subjects with:	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Any TEAE	76 (46.9)	75 (46.9)	92 (56.8)
Severe TEAE	2 (1.2)	5 (3.1)	3 (1.9)
Serious TEAE	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)	2 (1.2)
Serious TEAE related to LGX	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
TEAE leading to discontinuation of IMP	3 (1.9)	9 (5.6)	5 (3.1)



### Few adverse events > 5% in either linzagolix group

	Placebo (N=162)	LGX 75 mg (N=160)	LGX 200 mg + ABT (N=162)
Subjects with:	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Headache	13 (8.0)	13 (8.1)	17 (10.5)
Hot flush	4 (2.5)	12 (7.5)	11 (6.8)
Fatigue	4 (2.5)	6 (3.8)	11 (6.8)



### Minimal percent change from baseline in BMD at week 24





### BMD Z-scores remained within normal range

Lumbar Spine



Z-scores compare BMD to the average values of a person of the same age and gender. A score < -2 is a sign of less bone mass than expected



### Phase 3 EDELWEISS 3 Summary



12/125

### Prostate cancer

The second most prevalent form of cancer in men and a leading cause of death due to cancer<sup>1</sup>

3.8%

## 1.3M

Of all cancer deaths in men in 2018 due to prostate cancer<sup>2</sup>

New cases of prostate cancer reported globally in 2018<sup>3</sup>

In African-American men compared to Caucasians; incidence rate of 158.3 new cases diagnosed per 100K African-American men<sup>4</sup>

2X Mortality Rate

~130K \$600M \$2.1B

Number of patients in the US treated with Lupron

Total US sales for GnRH agonists

Lupron was the biggest product in the US with nearly \$350M in revenue Total global prostate cancer market for GnRH agonists in 2020<sup>5</sup>

Or over half of the total global GnRH agonist market<sup>6</sup>





### Advanced prostate cancer opportunity



### **GnRH** analogues in prostate cancer\*

Profile			Efficacy <sup>++</sup>				Safety			
GnRH analog	Delivery Route	Flare Effect <sup>†</sup>	Castration on Day 4 (%)	Castration on Day 15 (%)	Sustained T Level to 48 Weeks (%)	PSA Response at Day 15 (%)	MACE <sup>‡</sup> Overall/Prior History (%)	Injection Site Reaction (%)	Hot Flush (%)	
GnRH Agonist										
Leuprolide	Injection (Every 1-3 months)	Yes	0	12	89	20	6.2/17.8	14	51.6	
Degarelix <sup>§</sup>	Injection (Monthly)	No	96	99	97	_	-	40	26.0	
Relugolix	Oral	No	56	99	97	79	2.9/3.6	n/a	54.3	

\*Phase 3 relugolix (HERO) results for leuprolide and Relugolix (Shore et al., NEJM. 2020; 382: 2187 -96 ; Degarelix package insert (PI)

+Flare effect = initial spike in T levels upon treatment initiation due to initial activation of GnRH receptor

++Responder = Testosterone levels <50 ng/dL (i.e., castration)</pre>

Obs**eva** 

\*MACE=major cardiovascular event after 48 weeks of treatment; KM analysis showed 54% lower risk in relugolix group vs leuprolide group §Degarelix PI: Day 3 and Day 14; sustained levels to Day 364 for 240/80mg dose; \*rate of hot flush for leuprolide in Degarelix studies was 21%

# ObsEva's linzagolix could potentially challenge the current standard of care as the best-in-class oral GnRH antagonist

Potentially best-in-class GnRH antagonist in uterine fibroids & endometriosis ObsEva exploring development of linzagolix in combination with estrogen for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer

Potential improvement on GnRH antagonist-only regimen by further decreasing cardiovascular & bone loss risk, and mitigating hot flushes: Phase 3 results showed similar hot flush rates (>50%) for relugolix and leuprolide<sup>1</sup>



Commercialization relationship with Syneos Health offers the best option to maximize and maintain control, value and optionality in the U.S. Licensing agreement with Theramex, a leading global pharmaceutical company specializing in women's health, supports the commercialization and market introduction of linzagolix across global markets outside of the U.S., Canada and Asia



### **EBOPIPRANT**

POTENTIAL TO DELAY PRETERM BIRTH TO IMPROVE NEW BORN HEALTH AND REDUCE MEDICAL COSTS

GLOBAL LICENSING AGREEMENT WITH ORGANON

### Preterm birth is delivery before 37 weeks of pregnancy Life altering & costly

\$26B/yr

US economic burden

>1

In 10 babies are born preterm

1 million

preterm related deaths in 2015 WW<sup>1</sup>

LEADING Preterm birth, a costly burden per baby

cause of death in children under age 5

Babies surviving early birth face greater likelihood of lifelong disabilities

\$16.9<sub>B+</sub>

US infant medical costs

\$195K+ average cost per US survivor infant born 24-26 weeks

average US cost for a preterm infant





WHO 'Born Too Soon: The Global Action Report on Preterm Birth' (2012); Kissin et al. NEJM, 2014 Behrman et al., National Academies Press, 2007 <sup>1</sup>WHO: 15 million babies born preterm each year worldwide, and number is rising.

**\$50**к

### **Ebopiprant: an advancement in treatment of preterm labor** Orally active, selective prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ (PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub>) receptor antagonist





Ebopiprant, a potential breakthrough for preterm labor

with improved safety over nonselective COX \*inhibitors (NSAIDS)

No FDA—approved preterm labor treatment available in US Use in setting of active preterm labor and threatened premature delivery

#### Obs**eva**

51

# Global license, development and commercialization agreement with Organon optimizes Ebopiprant value

ObsEva entitled to receive tiered double-digit royalties on commercial sales

\$25 million

Upfront payment to ObsEva

**\$90** million

Development and regulatory milestones

**\$385** million

Achievements in commercial milestones

### **ObsEva Financial Summary**

Facilities and agreements in place to fund operations

\$558 million total cash on hand at March 31, 2022



borrowing capacity under convertible note agreement<sup>1</sup> \$25 million total ATM capacity at March 31, 2022

### Strategic agreements

ObsEva actively engages with partners to advance its pipeline and build shareholder value. Total deal value of out-licensing agreements include:



plus tiered double-digit royalties for ebopiprant worldwide rights with Organon



plus mid-thirties royalties<sup>2</sup> for linzagolix ex US/Canada/Asia rights with Theramex



plus up-to tiered double-digit royalties for nolasiban China rights with Yuyuan



### Investor highlights



Pursuing large indications for conditions that compromise women's reproductive health and beyond



Linzagolix has potential best in class efficacy, favorable tolerability, and unique flexible dosing options. NDA accepted; CHMP adopted positive opinion. Licensing agreement with Theramex and commercialization relationship with Syneos Health to support market introduction



Global licensing agreement with Organon to develop and commercialize ebopiprant, the only known product in development for preterm labor



Business model built on strong global partnerships and collaborations



Seasoned leadership team with a track record for success

# Thank you



